Scalable Computing Challenges in Ensemble Data Assimilation

CAS2K13 Nancy Collins NCAR - IMAGe/DAReS 12 Sept 2013

Overview

- What is Data Assimilation?
- What is DART?
- Current Work on Highly Scalable Systems

Prediction Model

Prediction Model **Conserving System**

DART is a *community* ensemble assimilation facility.

Data Assimilation Types

- Variational Systems
	- Used by operational NWP forecasting centers
- Ensemble Systems
	- Make many forecasts
	- Easier to develop a DA system, especially for large models
	- Feasible for individual researchers, small groups
	- Produces uncertainty information

Data Assimilation Research Testbed

- DART software is used for:
	- Building Ensemble Data Assimilation systems
	- A Teaching tool
	- A DA Research tool
- Users can run it:
	- Out of the box
	- Add their own new models
	- Add their own new observation types
	- Change the assimilation algorithms

DART is used at:

48 UCAR member universities More than 100 other sites

DART Models

 \cdot 1D, 2D+

– 6 Lorenz models, simple chaotic models (e.g. Ikeda, Null, 9var, SQG, PE2LYR, Bgrid_solo)

• Full Geophysical Models

– Coupled Climate, Weather, Ocean, Land, …

(e.g. CESM, WRF, POP, MITgcm, COAMPS, GITM, MPAS, TIEgcm, Rose, NOAH, NOGAPS)

• Economic, Epidemiological, Ecosystem, etc

Lorenz Models

Lorenz 96 Free Run

Lorenz 96 Ensembles

Lorenz 96 with DA

DART Models

 \cdot 1D, 2D+

– 6 Lorenz models, simple chaotic models (e.g. Ikeda, Null, 9var, SQG, PE2LYR, Bgrid_solo)

• Full Geophysical Models

– Coupled Climate, Weather, Ocean, Land, …

(e.g. CESM, WRF, POP, MITgcm, COAMPS, GITM, MPAS, TIEgcm, Rose, NOAH, NOGAPS, COSMO)

• Economic, Epidemiological, Ecosystem, etc

Example Dart Observation Types

- Atmospheric Obs
	- Radiosondes (balloons) Temperature, Winds
	- Aircraft, Satellite Winds, Surface Obs, GPS (T, Q)
- Ocean Obs
	- Temperature, Salinity, Sea Surface Temp/Height
- Land Obs
	- Snow cover, CO Fluxes from Towers
- Novel Obs Types
	- Gravity/Length of Day, Leaf Area Index, COSMOS Neutron Soil Moisture

Examples of Observation Density by Obs Type

Observations 1 December 2006

GPS GPS ACARS and Aircraft

Atmospheric Reanalysis

Observation Visualization Tools

Parallel Computation Issues

- Model algorithms are usually grid based
	- Subregions of the model grid are distributed to different processors for parallel computation
	- Best distribution puts nearest neighbors on same processors and communicates across boundaries
- DART parallelizes differently than most apps
	- 3 distinct data decompositions for parallelism

1. Use model to advance ensemble (3 members here) to time at which next observation becomes available.

Ensemble state estimate, $x(t_k)$, after using previous observation (analysis)

1. Use model to advance ensemble (3 members here) to time at which next observation becomes available.

2. Get prior ensemble sample of observation, $y = h(x)$, by applying forward operator **h** to each ensemble member.

Theory: observations from instruments with uncorrelated errors can be done sequentially.

3. Get observed value and observational error distribution from observing system.

4. Compute the increments for the prior observation ensemble (this is a scalar problem for uncorrelated observation errors).

6. When all ensemble members for each state variable are updated, there is a new analysis. Integrate to time of next observation …

6. When all ensemble members for each state variable are updated, there is a new analysis. Integrate to time of next observation …

DART Evolution Challenges

- DART runs well on O(10 1000) processors
- New architectures O(100,000) processors
- Highly scalable systems require less global communication, more asynchronicity
	- Less memory per node, more nodes, lower power
	- Harder to program Geophysical applications

Addressing Shrinking Memory Sizes

- Redesigning forward operator algorithms to avoid the need for entire state of one ensemble member in single task memory
- Requires additional communication for some types of forward operators
- Keeping spatial locality lowers communication overhead but presents load balancing issues

Avoiding Global Communication

- Current implementation transposes data for load balancing during state adjustment phase
- Global operations prohibitively expensive on O(100,000) processor counts
- Avoiding transposes avoids global operation but again raises more load balancing issues

DART Evolution for MPP Systems

- Allow single ensemble state to span multiple tasks
	- Decompose across a small number of nodes
	- Data movement confined to subsets of nodes
- Support distributed forward operator computations
	- Spatially local decomposition minimizes communication
	- One-sided MPI-2 communication avoids barriers
- Avoid global communication at state adjustment phase
	- Smarter decomposition for load balancing
	- Parallel adjustments of disjoint observation sets

DART Evolution (cont)

- Maintain reasonable interfaces that enable userextensible sections of the code
	- Support for modification by domain scientists
	- Clear and understandable process for adding new models and new observation operators
	- Encapsulate MPI code at a level where user does not have to understand the details
- Transformational hardware architecture changes may require transformational algorithmic choices

Thank you!

nancy@ucar.edu www.image.ucar.edu/DAReS

